

I fail to see why the FCC should get involved in pre-empting effective state laws. The Indiana Telephone Privacy Act is highly effective. We would previously get 10-12 unwanted soliciting calls per week, and now we rarely get any.

I understand the value of the proposed regulations for states without effective privacy laws, but why would anyone want to take away some of the protection that is already in place for others? The FCC regulation should yield to state laws that are more protective.

The fact that companies whose products and/or services you have purchased prior would receive an exemption from the marketing call rules is a mistake. If a consumer has been happy with the services and/or products provided him/her by a vendor, then he/she can certainly stay in contact with that vendor and learn about new offerings from that vendor by his/her own volition. Chances are, if a consumer no longer deals with a vendor, then there is a legitimate reason, in that he/she did not find value in the product or service purchased from that vendor. All of us have bought many things through the years from many vendors, and the prospect of being hounded by all of them is very unattractive.

Please reconsider this proposed regulatory change, and at least modify it to protect rights already in place.